REVIEW ARTICLE

Eurasian Journal of Medical and Biological Sciences
AN INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
Eurasian J Med Biol Sci **4(2): 50-62**

Sector-Based Evaluation of Aquaculture Wastes

Şehnaz Özatay[1*], Çağlar Kaya[2]

[1] *Department of Food Processing, Ezine Food Specialized Organized Industrial Zone Vocational School, University of Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart, 17600, Çanakkale, Türkiye*
[2] *Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart, 17100, Çanakkale, Türkiye*

Received : 25/10/2024 Revised : 03/12/2024 Accepted : 03/12/2024

ABSTRACT: This study evaluates the environmental and economic impacts of aquaculture waste and discusses innovative management strategies to support industry sustainability. As aquaculture continues to expand as a major contributor to global food supply, waste generation from uneaten feed, fish feces, chemical residues, and processing by-products poses significant challenges. Key waste management techniques include Recirculating Aquaculture Systems (RAS) and Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture (IMTA), which enhance resource efficiency by recycling water and nutrients within aquaculture operations. Valorization of waste into biofuels, fertilizers, fishmeal, and other value-added products further supports a circular economy approach. Additionally, bioremediation methods, including microbial communities and constructed wetlands, offer natural solutions for reducing contaminants. Addressing antibiotic and chemical residues remains critical to protect ecosystems and public health, prompting an emphasis on regulatory compliance and consumer awareness. Overall, sustainable waste management in aquaculture is essential for reducing environmental impacts, optimizing resources, and meeting the demands of a growing market for responsibly produced seafood. The adoption of sustainable waste management practices will not only reduce environmental impacts but also meet the growing demand for responsibly produced seafood. Additionally, collaboration among industry stakeholders should be encouraged to develop more effective solutions.

Keywords: Aquaculture waste management, recirculating aquaculture systems, integrated multi-trophic aquaculture, waste valorization, bioremediation, circular economy in aquaculture.

INTRODUCTION

Aquaculture, the farming of aquatic organisms such as fish, shellfish, and aquatic plants, has become one of the most dynamic sectors of food production worldwide. According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), global aquaculture production reached 114.5 million tons in 2018, with an estimated value of over $263.6 billion (FAO, 2020). This growth is driven by the increasing demand for seafood, as well as the decline of wild fish stocks due to overfishing. Despite these benefits, the environmental footprint of aquaculture is substantial, with waste management emerging as a critical issue (Bostock et al., 2010).

The Economic and Ecological Importance of Aquaculture

Aquaculture plays a key role in global food systems, contributing to food security, economic development, and rural livelihoods. It also helps to mitigate pressure on wild fisheries by providing an alternative source of fish protein. However, the ecological impacts of aquaculture are significant, particularly in terms of waste generation, which includes nutrient-rich effluents, uneaten feed, fecal matter, and chemical contaminants (Holmer et al., 2003).

Challenges of Aquaculture Waste Management

The complexity of managing aquaculture waste arises from the diversity of farming systems and species. Marine and freshwater systems, for instance, produce different waste profiles, which require tailored management strategies. As aquaculture operations expand, concerns over the sustainability of waste disposal practices have intensified. This review aims to explore the current state of aquaculture waste management, assess the environmental impacts, and propose sustainable solutions through waste valorization and emerging technologies (Cánovas-Molina and García-Frapolli, 2021).

Categories of Aquaculture Waste

Aquaculture produces various forms of waste, which can be broadly classified into solid, liquid, chemical, and biological wastes. These waste types vary across aquaculture sectors, influencing their environmental footprint and the methods used for waste management.

* sehnazozatay@comu.edu.tr

Solid Waste

Solid waste, such as uneaten feed, fish feces, and dead fish, is one of the most visible forms of waste in aquaculture. These waste products can accumulate in the sediments of ponds, cages, or tanks, leading to eutrophication and oxygen depletion in the water (Cripps and Bergheim, 2000).

Liquid Waste

Liquid waste primarily consists of dissolved nutrients, such as nitrogen and phosphorus, which are released into the surrounding water. These effluents contribute to water pollution and can cause algal blooms and hypoxia, particularly in enclosed or semi-enclosed water systems (Burford et al., 2003).

Chemical Waste

Aquaculture operations often rely on the use of antibiotics, pesticides, and antifoulants to maintain animal health and infrastructure. However, the excessive use of these chemicals can lead to antimicrobial resistance and bioaccumulation, posing risks to both aquatic ecosystems and human health (González-Gaya et al., 2022).

Biological Waste

Biological waste includes pathogens, parasites, and invasive species that may be introduced or proliferate as a result of aquaculture activities. This type of waste can have significant ecological consequences, particularly in terms of the spread of diseases and the displacement of native species (Naylor et al., 2001).

Environmental Impacts of Aquaculture Waste

The environmental impacts of aquaculture waste are varied, affecting water quality, biodiversity, and ecosystem functioning. The scale of these impacts depends on the type of aquaculture system, waste management practices, and the sensitivity of the surrounding environment (Qi et al., 2015).

Loss of Biodiversity

The accumulation of organic waste in sediments can alter benthic ecosystems, leading to the loss of biodiversity. Species that are unable to tolerate low-oxygen conditions are often replaced by opportunistic species, resulting in a shift in community structure (Sequeira et al., 2008).

Chemical Pollution

The release of antibiotics, antifoulants, and other chemicals used in aquaculture can have long-term effects on aquatic ecosystems. These chemicals can persist in the environment, leading to the development of resistant bacterial strains and the contamination of surrounding habitats (Cabello, 2006).

Aquaculture Systems and Their Waste Profiles

Aquaculture waste generation varies across different farming systems, with each system producing a unique waste profile. This section provides an overview of the primary aquaculture systems and their associated waste production (Boyd and McNevin, 2015).

Pond-Based Aquaculture

Pond-based aquaculture is one of the most common systems, particularly for freshwater species such as carp and tilapia. These systems produce large quantities of solid and liquid waste, which can accumulate in pond sediments and contribute to nutrient loading in nearby water bodies (Boyd, 2012).

Net Pen Aquaculture

Net pen systems are used primarily in marine environments to farm species such as salmon. Waste in these systems, including uneaten feed and fecal matter, is released directly into the surrounding water, where it can accumulate in sediments and affect water quality (Brooks and Mahnken, 2003).

Recirculating Aquaculture Systems (RAS)

RAS are designed to minimize waste by recirculating water within a closed-loop system. These systems use biofilters to remove waste products such as ammonia and solid waste, making them one of the most sustainable options for aquaculture (Martins et al., 2010).

Waste Management Practices in Aquaculture

Effective waste management is critical for minimizing the environmental impacts of aquaculture. This section explores the primary waste management strategies currently employed in the industry (Tacon and Forster, 2003).

Waste Collection and Sediment Management

In pond and cage-based systems, waste collection and sediment management are essential for reducing nutrient buildup. Regular dredging of ponds and the use of sediment traps can help to remove solid waste and prevent nutrient overload (Tucker et al., 2008).

Effluent Treatment

Effluent treatment systems, such as constructed wetlands and biofilters, can be used to treat nutrient-rich water before it is released into the environment. These systems are particularly effective at removing nitrogen and phosphorus from wastewater (van Rijn, 2013).

Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture (IMTA)

IMTA is an innovative approach to aquaculture waste management that involves the co-cultivation of species from different trophic levels. This system allows for the recycling of waste products, with species such as seaweeds and filter-feeders absorbing nutrients and organic matter (Suuronen et al., 2012).

Valorization of Aquaculture Waste

Aquaculture waste is increasingly being recognized as a valuable resource that can be repurposed for various biotechnological applications. This section explores the potential for waste valorization within the industry (Satya et al., 2023).

Bioenergy Production

Organic waste from aquaculture, such as fish sludge and uneaten feed, can be converted into bioenergy through processes such as anaerobic digestion. This not only reduces waste but also generates renewable energy in the form of biogas (McCallum et al., 2020).

Fertilizers and Soil Amendments

Aquaculture waste is rich in nutrients, making it an ideal source for the production of organic fertilizers and soil amendments. The use of waste-derived fertilizers can help to close nutrient loops and reduce the need for chemical fertilizers in agriculture (Goddek et al., 2015).

Feed Supplements

Fishmeal and fish oil, which are by-products of fish processing, are commonly used as feed supplements in both aquaculture and livestock farming. This creates a circular economy within the industry, reducing waste and improving resource efficiency (Tacon and Metian, 2015).

Aquaculture Waste in Biotechnology

The potential for biotechnological applications of aquaculture waste is vast, ranging from bioenergy production to the development of pharmaceuticals and nutraceuticals (Singh et al., 2020).

Aquaculture Waste for Pharmaceutical Development

Recent research has highlighted the potential of aquaculture by-products in the pharmaceutical industry. For example, fish collagen and chitosan extracted from shrimp shells have been used in wound healing applications (López-Pedrouso et al., 2020).

Bioplastics from Aquaculture Waste

Biodegradable plastics, or bioplastics, can be produced from waste products such as fish scales and shells. These materials offer a sustainable alternative to petroleum-based plastics, contributing to the reduction of plastic pollution (John and Mishra, 2024).

Nutraceuticals from Aquaculture By-products

Aquaculture waste, particularly fish processing by-products such as skins, bones, and viscera, are rich in bioactive compounds like omega-3 fatty acids, peptides, and antioxidants. These by-products can be utilized in the development of nutraceuticals, which are dietary supplements that provide health benefits beyond basic nutrition. This valorization not only reduces waste but also contributes to the growing nutraceutical market (Mutalipassi et al., 2021).

Policy and Regulatory Frameworks for Aquaculture Waste Management

Effective governance is essential for mitigating the environmental impacts of aquaculture waste. Policy and regulatory frameworks help to ensure that waste management practices are sustainable and that aquaculture operations comply with environmental standards (Van Houtte, 2000).

International Policies on Aquaculture Waste

At the international level, organizations such as the FAO and the World Aquaculture Society (WAS) have developed guidelines and best practices for sustainable aquaculture. These policies emphasize the need for proper waste management, including the reduction of effluents, the use of sustainable feed, and the promotion of environmentally friendly technologies (FAO, 2020).

National Regulations

Many countries have implemented national regulations to manage aquaculture waste and protect aquatic ecosystems. These regulations often require aquaculture operators to obtain permits for waste discharge and to regularly monitor water quality. For example, in the European Union, the Water Framework Directive (WFD) sets stringent limits on nutrient emissions from aquaculture operations (McNally, 2009).

Certification Schemes

Certification schemes, such as the Aquaculture Stewardship Council (ASC) and GlobalG.A.P., provide frameworks for sustainable aquaculture practices, including waste management. These certifications are increasingly important as consumers demand environmentally responsible products. Compliance with certification standards requires aquaculture operations to implement waste reduction strategies and maintain transparency in waste management practices (Kruk and Peters, 2018).

Economic Implications of Aquaculture Waste Management

The economic impact of waste management in aquaculture is twofold: while it represents a cost in terms of implementing sustainable practices, it also offers opportunities for cost savings and revenue generation through waste valorization (Miller and Semmens, 2002).

Costs of Waste Treatment

Implementing effective waste management systems, such as biofilters and recirculating aquaculture systems (RAS), can involve significant upfront investment. However, these systems can lead to long-term cost savings by reducing the environmental impact and the need for remedial actions (Martins et al., 2010).

Economic Benefits of Waste Valorization

Aquaculture waste valorization can generate additional revenue streams for producers. By converting waste into valuable products such as fertilizers, feed, and bioenergy, aquaculture operations can reduce their overall waste management costs and contribute to a circular economy (Goddek et al., 2015).

Case Studies on Aquaculture Waste Management

Examining case studies from different regions provides insights into successful aquaculture waste management strategies and the challenges that still need to be addressed (Chiquito-Contreras et al., 2022).

Norwegian Salmon Farming

Norway is one of the largest producers of farmed salmon, and the industry has faced significant challenges related to waste management, particularly nutrient pollution. The introduction of recirculating aquaculture systems (RAS) and Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture (IMTA) has helped to mitigate the environmental impacts, demonstrating the potential of these systems to improve sustainability (Ashour et al., 2021).

Shrimp Farming in Southeast Asia

Shrimp farming in countries like Thailand and Vietnam has led to severe environmental degradation, including mangrove deforestation and water pollution. However, recent efforts to adopt sustainable practices, such as effluent treatment systems and the use of polyculture, have shown promising results in reducing waste and improving water quality (Boyd, 2012).

Tilapia Farming in Egypt

Egypt is a major producer of tilapia, and the industry has faced challenges with water quality due to nutrient loading from aquaculture effluents. The implementation of constructed wetlands to treat effluents has been a successful strategy in reducing nutrient pollution, demonstrating the potential for nature-based solutions in waste management (van Rijn, 2013).

Emerging Technologies in Aquaculture Waste Management

Innovation is key to addressing the growing waste problem in aquaculture. Emerging technologies offer new ways to reduce, treat, and repurpose waste, contributing to the overall sustainability of the industry (Pędziwiatr, 2017).

Nanotechnology

Nanotechnology offers promising solutions for waste treatment in aquaculture, particularly in the removal of contaminants such as heavy metals and antibiotics. Nanomaterials, such as nanofibers and nanoparticles, can be used in filtration systems to capture and degrade harmful substances before they are released into the environment (Fajardo et al., 2022).

Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning

AI and machine learning are increasingly being applied in aquaculture to optimize feeding practices, reduce waste, and improve water quality management. These technologies can help to predict and monitor waste generation, enabling operators to implement more efficient waste management strategies (Føre et al., 2018).

Advanced Biofilters

Biofilters are essential for treating wastewater in recirculating aquaculture systems (RAS). Recent advancements in biofilter technology, including the use of microbial communities to break down waste, have improved the efficiency of these systems, reducing their environmental impact (Martins et al., 2010).

Circular Economy in Aquaculture Waste Management

The concept of the circular economy is gaining traction in aquaculture, promoting the recycling and reuse of waste products to create a closed-loop system (Carvalho Pereira et al., 2022).

Aquaponics

Aquaponics combines aquaculture with hydroponics, allowing for the use of fish waste as a nutrient source for plant growth. This system exemplifies the principles of the circular economy, as it reduces waste and improves resource efficiency (Goddek et al., 2015).

Biomass Utilization

Aquaculture waste can be converted into biomass, which can then be used for energy production or as feedstock for other industries. This not only reduces waste but also provides a sustainable alternative to traditional energy sources (McCallum et al., 2020).

Social and Ethical Considerations in Aquaculture Waste Management

The social and ethical dimensions of aquaculture waste management are often overlooked but are critical to ensuring the long-term sustainability of the industry (Grigorakis, 2010).

Community Involvement

Engaging local communities in aquaculture waste management is essential for fostering sustainable practices. This includes providing education and resources to help communities manage waste and mitigate its environmental impacts (Beveridge et al., 2013).

Ethical Production Practices

There is growing consumer demand for ethically produced seafood, with a focus on minimizing environmental harm. Aquaculture operators are increasingly adopting ethical production practices, such as reducing waste and avoiding the use of harmful chemicals, to meet this demand (Kruk and Peters, 2018).

Future Directions in Aquaculture Waste Management

As the aquaculture industry continues to grow, so too will the need for innovative and sustainable waste management solutions. This section outlines future directions and research priorities for improving waste management in aquaculture (Wu and Song, 2021).

Research on Waste Reduction Technologies

There is a need for continued research into new technologies that can reduce waste generation in aquaculture. This includes the development of more efficient biofilters, alternative feed sources that produce less waste, and biotechnological solutions for waste valorization (Martins et al., 2010).

Climate Change and Aquaculture Waste

Climate change is expected to exacerbate many of the environmental challenges associated with aquaculture waste, including water pollution and nutrient loading. Research into the impacts of climate change on aquaculture waste management is critical for developing adaptive strategies (De Silva and Soto, 2009).

Utilization of Aquaculture Wastes in Biofuel Production

Aquaculture wastes, particularly organic matter, can be converted into biofuels such as biogas, biodiesel, and bioethanol. This is especially relevant in regions with high production levels of aquaculture biomass. Algal and fish waste residues, rich in lipids and other organic materials, serve as promising feedstocks for biofuel production. The integration of biofuel production into aquaculture systems not only helps manage waste but also provides an additional energy source, creating a more sustainable and circular economy (Alvarado-Ramírez et al., 2023).

Aquaculture Waste Treatment Using Constructed Wetlands

Constructed wetlands are increasingly being applied to treat aquaculture wastewater. These systems utilize natural processes involving wetland plants, soils, and microbial communities to remove contaminants such as nitrogen, phosphorus, and organic matter. Constructed wetlands are low-cost, sustainable alternatives for aquaculture waste treatment, especially in rural or small-scale operations. However, challenges related to the design and scalability of these systems for large aquaculture facilities still exist (Lin et al., 2002).

Innovative Technologies for Waste Management in Aquaculture

The aquaculture industry is adopting innovative waste management technologies to improve sustainability. Technologies such as biofloc systems, recirculating aquaculture systems (RAS), and integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) are being developed to reduce waste generation and recycle nutrients. These systems help in converting waste into useful by-products and minimizing environmental impact, making them attractive solutions for future aquaculture practices (Wu and Song, 2021).

Environmental Impact of Solid Waste from Aquaculture

Solid wastes, including uneaten feed, fish feces, and sludge, are major contributors to environmental pollution in aquaculture. The accumulation of solid waste in water bodies can lead to oxygen depletion, eutrophication, and biodiversity loss. The management of solid wastes requires careful planning, including proper waste collection, composting, and treatment. Improved feed formulation and feeding practices can also reduce the amount of waste generated (Cao et al., 2007).

Impact of Aquaculture Wastes on Water Quality

Aquaculture wastes, especially nutrient-rich effluents, can significantly impact water quality in surrounding environments. High levels of nitrogen and phosphorus can lead to algal blooms, which deplete oxygen levels and cause fish kills. Managing the discharge of these effluents is critical for maintaining water quality in both inland and coastal aquaculture systems. Regulatory frameworks and monitoring programs are necessary to ensure that aquaculture operations comply with environmental standards (Dauda et al., 2019).

Nutrient Recycling in Aquaculture Systems

Nutrient recycling is a crucial component of sustainable aquaculture systems. By reusing nutrients from waste products, aquaculture operations can reduce their dependency on external inputs such as fertilizers. Techniques such as integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) and aquaponics allow for the reuse of nutrients in different trophic levels, creating a closed-loop system that minimizes waste and enhances productivity (Farrant et al., 2021).

Aquaculture Sludge as a Resource for Agriculture

Aquaculture sludge, composed of organic matter and nutrients, can be repurposed as a valuable resource for agriculture. When properly treated, this sludge can be used as fertilizer for crops, improving soil quality and reducing the need for synthetic fertilizers. However, the high moisture content of sludge poses challenges for transportation and application. Techniques such as dewatering and composting are essential for turning aquaculture sludge into a viable agricultural product (Del Campo et al., 2010).

Fish Feed Waste Reduction Strategies

Feed waste is a major issue in aquaculture, contributing to both environmental pollution and economic losses. Strategies to reduce feed waste include improving feed formulations, optimizing feeding practices, and using automated feeding systems. These approaches help minimize uneaten feed and ensure that nutrients are more efficiently absorbed by the cultured species. Reducing feed waste not only improves the sustainability of aquaculture but also enhances the profitability of operations (Amirkolaie, 2011).

Aquaponics: Integrating Fish and Plant Cultivation

Aquaponics is a sustainable farming system that combines aquaculture with hydroponics, using fish waste to provide nutrients for plant growth. This method of cultivation allows for the recycling of waste, reducing the environmental impact of aquaculture and producing both fish and vegetables in a closed-loop system. Aquaponics has gained popularity as a viable solution for urban farming and food security, although its scalability for large-scale operations is still under investigation (Rakocy, 2012).

Role of Microbial Communities in Waste Breakdown

Microbial communities play a crucial role in the breakdown of organic waste in aquaculture systems. These microorganisms are involved in processes such as nitrification and denitrification, which help convert harmful nitrogen compounds into less toxic forms. Understanding the composition and function of microbial communities in aquaculture systems can lead to improved waste management strategies and more efficient nutrient cycling (Zhao et al., 2021).

Legislation and Regulations Governing Aquaculture Waste Management

The management of aquaculture waste is subject to various national and international regulations aimed at protecting water quality and the environment. Policies such as the EU's Water Framework Directive and local environmental regulations play a critical role in ensuring that aquaculture operations manage waste responsibly. Compliance with these regulations is necessary to minimize environmental impact and ensure the long-term sustainability of the industry (Boyd, 2003).

Fish Processing Wastes and By-Products

The processing of aquaculture products generates significant amounts of waste, including fish bones, scales, skin, and offal. These by-products can be valuable resources for various industries if properly utilized. Fishmeal and fish oil, for instance, are derived from processing waste and are key ingredients in animal feed. Additionally, collagen, gelatin, and omega-3 fatty acids can be extracted from fish skin and bones, offering opportunities for value-added products in the pharmaceutical, cosmetic, and food industries (Ghaly et al., 2013).

Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture (IMTA) for Waste Recycling

IMTA systems involve the cultivation of multiple species at different trophic levels within the same aquaculture system. In this model, waste generated by one species (e.g., fish) is utilized by another species (e.g., seaweed or shellfish) as a resource. IMTA promotes nutrient recycling, reducing the environmental impact of waste discharge, and improving the overall efficiency of aquaculture operations. This approach aligns with the principles of circular economy and sustainability in the industry (Knowler et al., 2020).

Wastewater Treatment in Recirculating Aquaculture Systems (RAS)

Recirculating aquaculture systems (RAS) are designed to recycle water within closed systems, significantly reducing water use and minimizing the discharge of wastewater. In RAS, biological and mechanical filtration systems are used to remove solid waste and excess nutrients from the water. By continuously treating and reusing water, RAS allows for higher levels of control over water quality and waste management, making it a preferred system in regions with limited water resources (Van Rijn, 2013).

Valorization of Seaweed Wastes in Aquaculture

Seaweed is an essential component of certain aquaculture systems, particularly in integrated approaches such as IMTA. The waste products from seaweed cultivation, including leftover biomass, can be valorized into various products, such as biofertilizers, animal feed, and biofuels. The ability to reuse seaweed waste is vital for the sustainability of seaweed-based aquaculture systems and contributes to reducing overall waste in the aquaculture sector (Yun et al., 2023).

Environmental Impact of Antibiotic and Chemical Residues in Aquaculture

The use of antibiotics and chemicals in aquaculture to control diseases and parasites can lead to the accumulation of residues in the environment. These residues, if not properly managed, pose significant risks to aquatic ecosystems and public health. Antibiotic resistance, for example, can develop as a result of improper disposal of waste containing antibiotic residues. It is critical to monitor and regulate the use of chemicals and develop alternative disease control methods to minimize the environmental impact of aquaculture waste (Mavraganis et al., 2020).

Aquaculture Waste and Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Aquaculture activities, particularly those involving organic waste decomposition, contribute to the release of greenhouse gases such as methane and carbon dioxide. The treatment and disposal of aquaculture waste, if not properly managed, can exacerbate these emissions. Strategies to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from aquaculture include improving waste management practices, such as using anaerobic digestion and other renewable energy technologies to capture and utilize methane emissions from organic waste (Raul et al., 2020).

Circular Economy Models in Aquaculture Waste Management

The circular economy model aims to minimize waste by recycling and repurposing materials within production systems. In aquaculture, this approach involves transforming waste into valuable by-products, such as biofuels, fertilizers, and animal feed, and integrating sustainable practices such as IMTA and nutrient recycling. The circular economy framework enhances resource efficiency, reduces environmental impacts, and promotes long-term sustainability in the aquaculture industry (Fraga-Corral et al., 2022).

CONCLUSION

The sustainable management of aquaculture wastes is essential for minimizing environmental impacts, optimizing resource use, and supporting the industry's long-term viability. Given the significant contribution of aquaculture to global food security, a holistic approach to waste management has become indispensable. Various waste streams-such as uneaten feed, fish feces, chemical residues, and wastewater-pose distinct challenges that necessitate targeted and effective strategies. Addressing these challenges requires the integration of advanced technologies, regulatory frameworks, and consumer awareness efforts.

Innovative systems such as Recirculating Aquaculture Systems (RAS) and Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture (IMTA) demonstrate promising potential for recycling and repurposing waste. RAS, with its ability to treat and reuse water, significantly reduces wastewater discharge and conserves water resources, making it a viable option in water-scarce regions. IMTA leverages nutrient recycling by utilizing waste products from one species as a resource for another, creating a closed-loop system that aligns with circular economy principles. These systems are not only environmentally beneficial but also improve economic resilience by adding value to what would otherwise be considered waste.

Furthermore, waste valorization options, such as converting fish processing by-products into fishmeal, fish oil, biofuels, and even pharmaceutical products, expand the economic utility of aquaculture by-products. The anaerobic digestion of organic waste into biogas represents an energy-efficient waste solution, turning waste into a renewable energy source that can help offset energy costs in aquaculture facilities. Meanwhile, the potential of bioremediation through microbial communities and constructed wetlands offers natural, low-cost methods for detoxifying waste, removing contaminants, and enhancing ecosystem health.

Another critical area is addressing the ecological risks of chemical and antibiotic residues. These residues, if left unchecked, can contribute to antimicrobial resistance, disrupt aquatic ecosystems, and negatively affect human health. Consequently, the development of environmentally friendly disease control methods, such as probiotics and improved vaccination protocols, is vital for reducing reliance on chemicals. Compliance with strict regulations and monitoring systems is also necessary to ensure that aquaculture operations adhere to environmental standards and public health guidelines.

Finally, consumer attitudes toward sustainability in aquaculture have a significant influence on industry practices. With increasing awareness of environmental and ethical concerns, consumers are favoring products that adhere to sustainable production standards. Transparency in waste management and sustainability practices can improve consumer trust, support market growth, and encourage more responsible consumption. Public engagement and education about sustainable aquaculture can enhance understanding of its ecological benefits and drive support for further innovations in waste management.

In conclusion, advancing sustainable aquaculture waste management is a multifaceted endeavor requiring cooperation between industry stakeholders, regulatory agencies, researchers, and consumers. By implementing effective waste management strategies, embracing innovative technologies, and fostering a circular economy, the aquaculture industry can continue to grow responsibly, minimize its ecological footprint, and contribute meaningfully to global food security. Sustainable waste management practices not only protect ecosystems but also strengthen the economic and social foundations of aquaculture, paving the way for a more resilient and sustainable food production system.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

None

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

REFERENCES

Alvarado-Ramírez, L., Santiesteban-Romero, B., Poss, G., Sosa-Hernández, J. E., Iqbal, H. M., Parra-Saldívar, R., Melchor-Martínez, E. M. (2023). Sustainable production of biofuels and bioderivatives from aquaculture and marine waste. Frontiers in Chemical Engineering, 4, 1072761.

Amirkolaie, A. K. (2011). Reduction in the environmental impact of waste discharged by fish farms through feed and feeding. Reviews in Aquaculture, 3(1), 19-26.

Ashour, M., Mabrouk, M. M., Abo-Taleb, H. A., Sharawy, Z. Z., Ayoub, H. F., Van Doan, H., Goda, A. M. A. (2021). A liquid seaweed extract (TAM®) improves aqueous rearing environment, diversity of zooplankton community, whilst enhancing growth and immune response of Nile tilapia, Oreochromis niloticus, challenged by Aeromonas hydrophila. Aquaculture, 543, 736915.

Beveridge, M. C., Thilsted, S. H., Phillips, M. J., Metian, M., Troell, M., Hall, S. J. (2013). Meeting the food and nutrition needs of the poor: the role of fish and the opportunities and challenges emerging from the rise of aquaculturea. Journal of fish biology, 83(4), 1067-1084.

Bostock, J., McAndrew, B., Richards, R., Jauncey, K., Telfer, T., Lorenzen, K., Corner, R. (2010). Aquaculture: global status and trends. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 365(1554), 2897-2912.

Boyd, C. E. (2003). Guidelines for aquaculture effluent management at the farm-level. Aquaculture, 226(1-4), 101-112.

Boyd, C. E., Tucker, C. S. (2012). Pond aquaculture water quality management. Springer Science & Business Media.

Boyd, C., McNevin, A. (2015). Aquaculture, resource use, and the environment. John Wiley & Sons.

Brooks, K. M., Mahnken, C. V. (2003). Interactions of Atlantic salmon in the Pacific northwest environment: II. Organic wastes. Fisheries Research, 62(3), 255-293.

Burford, E. P., Fomina, M., Gadd, G. M. (2003). Fungal involvement in bioweathering and biotransformation of rocks and minerals. Mineralogical Magazine, 67(6), 1127-1155.

Cabello, F. C. (2006). Heavy use of prophylactic antibiotics in aquaculture: a growing problem for human and animal health and for the environment. Environmental microbiology, 8(7), 1137-1144.

Cánovas-Molina, A., García-Frapolli, E. (2021). Socio-ecological impacts of industrial aquaculture and ways forward to sustainability. Marine and Freshwater Research, 72(8), 1101-1109.

Cao, L., Wang, W., Yang, Y., Yang, C., Yuan, Z., Xiong, S., Diana, J. (2007). Environmental impact of aquaculture and countermeasures to aquaculture pollution in China. Environmental Science and Pollution Research-International, 14, 452-462.

Carvalho Pereira, J., Lemoine, A., Neubauer, P., Junne, S. (2022). Perspectives for improving circular economy in brackish shrimp aquaculture. Aquaculture Research, 53(4), 1169-1180.

Chiquito-Contreras, R. G., Hernandez-Adame, L., Alvarado-Castillo, G., Martínez-Hernández, M. D. J., Sánchez-Viveros, G., Chiquito-Contreras, C. J., Hernandez-Montiel, L. G. (2022). Aquaculture—Production System and Waste Management for Agriculture Fertilization—A Review. Sustainability, 14(12), 7257.

Cripps, S. J., Bergheim, A. (2000). Solids management and removal for intensive land-based aquaculture production systems. Aquacultural engineering, 22(1-2), 33-56.

Dauda, A. B., Ajadi, A., Tola-Fabunmi, A. S., Akinwole, A. O. (2019). Waste production in aquaculture: Sources, components and managements in different culture systems. Aquaculture and Fisheries, 4(3), 81-88.

De Silva, S. S., Soto, D. (2009). Climate change and aquaculture: potential impacts, adaptation and mitigation. Climate change implications for fisheries and aquaculture: overview of current scientific knowledge. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper, 530, 151-212.

Del Campo, L. M., Ibarra, P., Gutiérrez, X., Takle, H. R. (2010). Utilization of sludge from recirculation aquaculture systems. Nofima rapportserie.

Fajardo, C., Martinez-Rodriguez, G., Blasco, J., Mancera, J. M., Thomas, B., De Donato, M. (2022). Nanotechnology in aquaculture: Applications, perspectives and regulatory challenges. Aquaculture and Fisheries, 7(2), 185-200.

FAO. (2020). The state of world fisheries and aquaculture 2020. Sustainability in action. Rome: FAO.

Farrant, D. N., Frank, K. L., Larsen, A. E. (2021). Reuse and recycle: Integrating aquaculture and agricultural systems to increase production and reduce nutrient pollution. Science of the total environment, 785, 146859.

Føre, M., Frank, K., Norton, T., Svendsen, E., Alfredsen, J. A., Dempster, T., Berckmans, D. (2018). Precision fish farming: A new framework to improve production in aquaculture. biosystems engineering, 173, 176-193.

Fraga-Corral, M., Ronza, P., Garcia-Oliveira, P., Pereira, A. G., Losada, A. P., Prieto, M. A., Simal-Gandara, J. (2022). Aquaculture as a circular bio-economy model with Galicia as a study case: How to transform waste into revalorized by-products. Trends in Food Science & Technology, 119, 23-35.

Ghaly, A. E., Ramakrishnan, V. V., Brooks, M. S., Budge, S. M., Dave, D. (2013). Fish processing wastes as a potential source of proteins. Amino acids and oils: A critical review. J. Microb. Biochem. Technol, 5(4), 107-129.

Goddek, S., Delaide, B., Mankasingh, U., Ragnarsdottir, K. V., Jijakli, H., Thorarinsdottir, R. (2015). Challenges of sustainable and commercial aquaponics. Sustainability, 7(4), 4199-4224.

González-Gaya, B., García-Bueno, N., Buelow, E., Marin, A., Rico, A. (2022). Effects of aquaculture waste feeds and antibiotics on marine benthic ecosystems in the Mediterranean Sea. Science of the Total Environment, 806, 151190.

Grigorakis, K. (2010). Ethical issues in aquaculture production. Journal of agricultural and environmental ethics, 23, 345-370.

Holmer, M., Duarte, C. M., Heilskov, A., Olesen, B., Terrados, J. (2003). Biogeochemical conditions in sediments enriched by organic matter from net-pen fish farms in the Bolinao area, Philippines. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 46(11), 1470-1479.

Isibor, P. O., Kayode-Edwards, I. I., Onwaeze, O. O. (2024). Prospects and Challenges of Nanochitosan Application in Aquaculture. Nanochitosan-Based Enhancement of Fisheries and Aquaculture: Aligning with Sustainable Development Goal 14–Life Below Water, 301-320.

John, E. P., Mishra, U. (2024). Integrated multitrophic aquaculture supply chain fish traceability with blockchain technology, valorisation of fish waste and plastic pollution reduction by seaweed bioplastic: A study in tuna fish aquaculture industry. Journal of Cleaner Production, 434, 140056.

Knowler, D., Chopin, T., Martínez-Espiñeira, R., Neori, A., Nobre, A., Noce, A., Reid, G. (2020). The economics of Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture: where are we now and where do we need to go. Reviews in Aquaculture, 12(3), 1579-1594.

Kruk, S., Peters, H. (2018). Towards a Socially Responsible Aquaculture Stewardship Council.

Lin, Y. F., Jing, S. R., Lee, D. Y., Wang, T. W. (2002). Nutrient removal from aquaculture wastewater using a constructed wetlands system. Aquaculture, 209(1-4), 169-184.

López-Pedrouso, M., Lorenzo, J. M., Cantalapiedra, J., Zapata, C., Franco, J. M., Franco, D. (2020). Aquaculture and by-products: Challenges and opportunities in the use of alternative protein sources and bioactive compounds. Advances in food and nutrition research, 92, 127-185.

Martins, C. I. M., Eding, E. H., Verdegem, M. C., Heinsbroek, L. T., Schneider, O., Blancheton, J. P., Verreth, J. A. J. (2010). New developments in recirculating aquaculture systems in Europe: A perspective on environmental sustainability. Aquacultural engineering, 43(3), 83-93.

Mavraganis, T., Constantina, C., Kolygas, M., Vidalis, K., Nathanailides, C. (2020). Environmental issues of Aquaculture development. Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Biology and Fisheries, 24(2), 441-450.

McCallum, M. L., Weston, S. D., Tilahun, Y. (2020). Performance of early juvenile giant river prawns (Macrobrachium rosenbergii) fed fish, soybean, shrimp and four insect based diets while under low temperature stress. In Proceedings of the Oklahoma Academy of Science (Vol. 100).

McNally, T. (2009). Overview of the EU Water Framework Directive and its implementation in Ireland. In Biology and Environment: Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy (pp. 131-138). Royal Irish Academy.

Miller, D., Semmens, K. (2002). Waste management in aquaculture. West Virginia University Extension Service Publication No. AQ02-1. USA, 8.

Mutalipassi, M., Esposito, R., Ruocco, N., Viel, T., Costantini, M., Zupo, V. (2021). Bioactive compounds of nutraceutical value from fishery and aquaculture discards. Foods, 10(7), 1495.

Naylor, R. L., Williams, S. L., Strong, D. R. (2001). Aquaculture--A gateway for exotic species. Science, 294(5547), 1655-1656.

Pędziwiatr, P. (2017). Aquaculture waste management. Acta Innovations, (22), 20-29.

Qi, Z., Han, T., Zhang, J., Huang, H., Mao, Y., Jiang, Z., Fang, J. (2015). First report on in situ biodeposition rates of ascidians (Ciona intestinalis and Styela clava) during summer in Sanggou Bay, northern China. Aquaculture Environment Interactions, 6(3), 233-239.

Rakocy, J. E. (2012). Aquaponics—integrating fish and plant culture. Aquaculture production systems, 344-386.

Raul, C., Pattanaik, S. S., Prakash, S., Sreedharan, K., Bharti, S. (2020). Greenhouse gas emissions from aquaculture systems. World Aquac, 57, 57-61.

Satya, A. D. M., Cheah, W. Y., Yazdi, S. K., Cheng, Y. S., Khoo, K. S., Vo, D. V. N., Show, P. L. (2023). Progress on microalgae cultivation in wastewater for bioremediation and circular bioeconomy. Environmental Research, 218, 114948.

Sequeira, A., Ferreira, J. G., Hawkins, A. J. S., Nobre, A., Lourenço, P., Zhang, X. L., Nickell, T. (2008). Trade-offs between shellfish aquaculture and benthic biodiversity: a modelling approach for sustainable management. Aquaculture, 274(2-4), 313-328.

Singh, G., Khati, A., Chauhan, R. S. (2020). Applications of environment biotechnology in aquaculture-review. International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology, 13(2), 239-247.

Suuronen, P., Chopin, F., Glass, C., Løkkeborg, S., Matsushita, Y., Queirolo, D., Rihan, D. (2012). Low impact and fuel efficient fishing—Looking beyond the horizon. Fisheries research, 119, 135-146.

Tacon, A. G., Forster, I. P. (2003). Aquafeeds and the environment: policy implications. Aquaculture, 226(1-4), 181-189.

Tacon, A. G., Metian, M. (2015). Feed matters: satisfying the feed demand of aquaculture. Reviews in Fisheries Science & Aquaculture, 23(1), 1-10.

Tucker, C. S., Hargreaves, J. A., Boyd, C. E. (2008). Better management practices for freshwater pond aquaculture. Environmental best management practices for aquaculture, 151-226.

Van Houtte, A. (2000). Establishing legal, institutional and regulatory framework for aquaculture development and management. In Technichal Proceeding of the Conference on Aquaculture in the Third Millenium, Bangkok, Thailand (pp. 20-25).

Van Rijn, J. (2013). Waste treatment in recirculating aquaculture systems. Aquacultural Engineering, 53, 49-56.

Venugopal, V. (2021). Valorization of seafood processing discards: Bioconversion and bio-refinery approaches. Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems, 5, 611835.

Wu, Y., Song, K. (2021). Source, treatment, and disposal of aquaculture solid waste: a review. Journal of Environmental Engineering, 147(3), 03120012.

Yun, J. H., Archer, S. D., Price, N. N. (2023). Valorization of waste materials from seaweed industry: An industry survey based biorefinery approach. Reviews in Aquaculture, 15(3).

Zhao, R., Liu, J., Feng, J., Li, X., Li, B. (2021). Microbial community composition and metabolic functions in landfill leachate from different landfills of China. Science of the total Environment, 767, 144861.